High-Z erosion and other recent DiMES and MiMES experiments

D.L. Rudakov, P.C. Stangeby, W.R. Wampler, C.P.C. Wong,
J.N. Brooks, N.H. Brooks, D.A. Buchenauer, C.P. Chrobak,
J.D. Elder, A. Hassanein, A.W. Leonard, A. Litnovsky,
A.G. McLean, R.A. Moyer, A. Okamoto, S. Ratynskaia,
T. Sizyuk, J.G. Watkins

DiMES Team and Collaborators





Presented at the PFC Meeting at PPPL Princeton NJ, June 20-22, 2012



Divertor Material Evaluation System – DiMES Mid-plane Material Evaluation Sample – MiMES



- DiMES is a stand-alone system used to insert material samples in the lower divertor of DIII-D
- MiMES is an add-on to the mid-plane reciprocating probe allowing exposure of material and mirror samples
- A minimum exposure in each system is for 1 plasma discharge



Experiments to be covered

This talk

Dedicated time

Gross versus net erosion of Mo – 3 experiments

Gross versus net erosion of W and AI - preliminary results

Dust studies

Dust capture with aerogel in MiMES

First mirror studies

Advanced duct geometry for mirror protection

Arcing studies

Covered by others

- C. Wong: Si-W surface material development
- D. Donovan: Sheath power transmission studies



•••

Gross versus net erosion of high-Z material

Experiment proposed and led by Peter Stangeby

Motivation

- Net erosion of high-Z plasma-facing surfaces in a tokamak divertor is expected to be reduced by redeposition due to gyro-motion, strong E-field in the magnetic pre-sheath and friction with fast plasma flow
- Although the theoretical ideas underlying these processes are basic and while there is also evidence for their validity, e.g. Krieger, 1998 PSI, Brooks & Whyte NF 1999...
- …in C-mod measured net erosion of Mo (campaign-integrated) ~ an order of magnitude larger than expected from simple basic considerations and also contrary to detailed (WBC) code modeling [Brooks, 2010 PSI]

Experimental approach in DIII-D

- Expose pre-characterized Mo sample (for better known S/XB) using DiMES under reproducible well-characterized plasma conditions
- Measure gross erosion spectroscopically, net erosion by IBA
 - Compare with modeling



3 Mo exposures have been performed

Date	Exposure time [s]	Initial Mo thickness [nm]	Probe data T _{emax} [eV], n _{emax} [10 ¹⁹ m ⁻³]	Mol filter passband [nm]	with 1 mm sample
8/1/2011	28	24.16	30, 1.5	10	no
4/23/2012	12	23.81	no data	1	no
5/1/2012	4	16.13	40, 1.2	1	yes





Experiment #3

- All samples featured a1 cm diameter
 Mo coating deposited on Si substrate
- A 1 mm Mo spot was added in the 3rd experiment to get non-spectroscopic measurement of gross erosion (since redeposition for such small spot is small, ~ 5% from WBC/REDEP code)

Experiments #1, 2

Mol light observed by CCD camera

Shot number 145673



Courtesy of N. Brooks



OSP was ~ 1.5 cm inboard of the Mo disk





Langmuir probe profiles across the sample





Computer code modeling

- The Langmuir probe data were input to the OEDGE code which generated a 2D plasma solution for the region near DiMES
- The latter was used as input to the REDEP/WBC/ITMC erosion/ redeposition code package coupled to the ITMC-DYN mixedmaterial evolution/response code for re-sputtering of Mo deposited on the C surface
- WBC computes the 3-D, sub-gyro-orbit, full-kinetic motion of sputtered atoms/ions, subject to the Lorentz force motion, and velocity-changing and charge-changing collisions with the plasma
- More detail in a talk by Jeff Brooks, next session



With 10 nm filter signal was dominated by C and He lines



- As a result, errors bars for the spectroscopic measurements were large
- A gross/net erosion rate ratio of ~10 was derived, a factor of ~5 larger than predicted by modeling
- In 2nd and 3rd experiments a 1 nm pass band filter was used, isolating Mol line at



MDS data courtesy of N Brooks and A McLean



Summary of results from the latest experiment

- For Experiment #3 (1 shot, 4 s exposure) the average thickness of:
 1 cm sample was reduced from 16.1 nm by 2.93 nm
 1 mm sample was reduced from 12.9 nm by 5.26 nm
- ***** Thus net/gross erosion = $0.56 \pm 12\%$
- Allowing for 5% redeposition on 1 mm sample = 0.56 -> 0.59
- Compared with code net/gross ratio = 0.46 Good agreement!
- Gross erosion rate = 1.3 nm/s -> 1.4 nm/s
- Spectroscopic measurement of gross erosion performed by absolutely calibrated CCD camera with 1 nm Mol filter centered at 386 nm, assuming S/XB ~ 1 photon efficiency
- Spectroscopically inferred gross erosion rate: 2.45 nm/s
- Ratio = 1.75 is within the uncertainty of the spectroscopic method



Evidence for both local deposition and long range transport of Mo sputtered from 1 cm sample

- RBS found only 19% of the Mo lost from the 1 cm sample, on the surrounding graphite DiMES surface.
- WBC/REDEP/ITMC calculation gave 13%
- Long range migration is due to multiple step erosion of Mo on C surface
- Hopefully, more on this from Jeff Brooks



Experiment #1, measurements by W. Wampler



Summary on Mo erosion

- For a specific divertor plasma condition (low density, high T_e, no ELMs) the measured net/gross erosion was found to agree with code modeling, i.e. is in accord with the 'standard' idea of prompt, local re-deposition
- There is also long range transport of some ions, which had not been generally anticipated. This appears to be a mixed materials effect involving increased sputtering yield for Mo deposited on C
- A new, non-spectroscopic method for measuring gross erosion rates has been demonstrated
- Future studies will use W, Al as proxy for Be, or even Be itself (if allowed)
- For the 'weak' plasma used here, net was only somewhat < gross erosion. In future studies 'stronger' plasmas will be used



New experiments – erosion of W and Al

- Samples with W and Al coatings have been exposed to 4 L-mode shots each on May 14 2012
- Plasma conditions close to those in Mo experiments



No visible change





Strong erosion Evidence of melting 1 mm spot gone



After exposure



Α



Preliminary analysis results (SEM)



Edge of 1 mm spot, ripples

Edge of 1 cm spot, popped blisters



Prospects for IBA look good

Courtesy of C. Chrobak

Preliminary analysis results (SEM)



Molten AI speck and film delamination

Center of 1 cm spot, some Al remains



Interpretation will be tough

Courtesy of C. Chrobak

Dust studies



New Dust Collection Technique: Aerogel

- Highly porous, very low density material
- Used in space programs to collect dust
- Allows capture of dust particles without destroying them
- From the penetration depth particle velocity can be derived
- - Tests of aerogel performed in HT-7, TEXTOR and EXTRAP-T2 with encouraging results
 - Most recent experiments performed in TEXTOR in May 2011







Aerogel tests in DIII-D using MiMES

- Aerogel sample exposed in MiMES just behind the port edge over 4 days of plasma operations
- *
- Some surface melting observed on Aerogel
- No trapped dust particles found
- Some craters observed, possibly due to dust impacts









First mirror studies



Mirrors with varying duct geometry in DIII-D

- Modeling results show that ducts with ribs or "fins" in front of a mirror can significantly reduce deposition rates compared to smooth ducts of similar length and apperure
- 6 similar Mo mirrors will be exposed in DIII-D with ducts of different length with and without fins
- 5 mirrors will have shutters activated by the B-field to prevent any effect from the glow discharge
- Mirrors and ducts were pre-assembled at FZJ and delivered to DIII-D for exposure in a mid-plane port during FY 2012 campaign
- The results will be used to benchmark modeling



Duct without fins



Collaboration with A. Litnovsky, FZJ

Mirrors station installed in DIII-D







Arcing studies



From single-year tile exposure, net erosion of C by arcing in the lower divertor is ~1.2g/year

Before 2011 campaign



After 2011 campaign



Areas of most intense arcing

- A poloidal row of 6 tiles sand-blasted and pre-characterized by profilometry has been installed prior to 2011 campaign
- Upon the vessel opening, the tiles were removed and re-analyzed
- The total amount of carbon removed from the tiles by arcing is ~9 mg
- * Assuming toroidal symmetry, for the whole lower divertor area one gets

~1.2 g of carbon eroded by arcing in a single year

Previous estimate based on multi-year exposure gave < 1 g per year for the whole machine. Possibly more arcing in 2011 due to frequent boronizations



Thanks for your attention!

